

---

---

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
District of Massachusetts

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

STATEMENT OF REASONS

V.

JOHN ERICKSON

Case Number: **1: 04 CR 10140 - 001 - GAO**JOHN J. BURKE, ESQUIRE

Defendant's Attorney



The court adopts the factual findings and guideline application in the presentence report.

**OR**

The court adopts the factual findings and guideline application in the presentence report, except (see attachment, if necessary):



See Continuation Page

**Guideline Range Determined by the Court:**

Total Offense Level:	<u>6</u>		
Criminal History Category:	<u>I</u>		
Imprisonment Range:	<u>0</u>	to	<u>6</u> months
Supervised Release Range:	<u></u>	to	<u></u> years
Fine Range:	\$ <u>\$500.00</u>	to	\$ <u>\$5,000.00</u>

Defendant's Soc. Sec. No.: 000-00-2926Defendant's Date of Birth: 00-00-1960Defendant's USM No.: 25278-038

Defendant's Residence Address:

1070 Riverside Drive  
Methuen, MA 01844

Defendant's Mailing Address:

Same as Above

09/27/04

Date of Imposition of Judgment

/s George A. O'Toole, Jr.

Signature of Judicial Officer

The Honorable George A. O'TooleJudge, U.S. District Court

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

September 27, 2004

Date

DEFENDANT: JOHN ERICKSON

CASE NUMBER: **1: 04 CR 10140 - 001 - GAO****STATEMENT OF REASONS**☐ Fine waived or below the guideline range because of inability to pay.

Total Amount of Restitution: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Discretionary restitution is not ordered because the complication and prolongation of the sentencing process resulting from the fashioning of a restitution order outweighs the need to provide restitution to any victims, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663(a)(B)(ii) (or in offenses committed before April 23, 1996, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663(d)).☐ Restitution pursuant to the mandatory victim restitution provisions is not ordered in this title 18 property offense because the number of identifiable victims is so large as to make restitution impracticable, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663A(c)(3)(A).☐ Restitution pursuant to the mandatory victim restitution provisions is not ordered in this title 18 property offense because determining complex issues of fact and related to the cause of amount of the victim's losses would complicate or prolong the sentencing process to a degree that the need to provide restitution to any victim is outweighed by the burden on the sentencing process, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663A(c)(3)(B).☐ For offenses committed on or after September 13, 1994 but before April 23, 1996 that require the total amount of loss to be stated, pursuant to Chapters 109A, 110, 110A, and 113A of Title 18, restitution is not ordered because the economic circumstances of the defendant do not allow for the payment of any amount of a restitution order, and do not allow for the payment of any or some portion of a restitution order in the foreseeable future under any reasonable schedule of payments.☐ Partial restitution is ordered, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3553(c), for the following reason(s):

DEFENDANT: JOHN ERICKSON

CASE NUMBER: **1: 04 CR 10140 - 001 - GAO****STATEMENT OF REASONS**

- ☒ The sentence is within the guideline range, that range does not exceed 24 months, and the court finds no reason to depart from the sentence called for by the application of the guidelines.

**OR**

- ☐ The sentence is within the guideline range, that range exceeds 24 months, and the sentence is imposed for the following reasons:

**OR**

- ☐ The sentence departs from the guideline range:
- ☐ upon motion of the government, as a result of a defendant's substantial assistance, or
  - ☐ for the following specific reason(s):

DEFENDANT: JOHN ERICKSON

CASE NUMBER: **1: 04 CR 10140 - 001 - GAO****ADDITIONAL FINDINGS AND GUIDELINES APPLICATIONS EXCEPTION****ADDITIONAL REASONS FOR DEPARTURE FROM THE GUIDELINE RANGE**